

BRST Quantisation of the $N = 2$ String in a Real Spacetime StructureH. Lü¹ and C.N. Pope^{1,2}

Center for Theoretical Physics, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843-4242

Abstract

We study the $N = 2$ string with a real structure on the $(2, 2)$ spacetime, using BRST methods. Several new features emerge. In the diagonal basis, the operator $\exp(\lambda \int^z J^{\text{tot}})$, which is associated with the moduli for the $U(1)$ gauge field on the world-sheet, is local and it relates the physical operators in the NS and R sectors. However, the picture-changing operators are non-invertible in this case, and physical operators in different pictures cannot be identified. The three-point interactions of all physical operators leads to three different types of amplitudes, which can be effectively described by the interactions of a scalar NS operator and a bosonic spinorial R operator. In the off-diagonal bases for the fermionic currents, the picture-changing operators are invertible, and hence physical operators in different pictures can be identified. However, now there is no local operator $\exp(\lambda \int^z J^{\text{tot}})$ that relates the physical operators in different sectors. The physical spectrum is thus described by one scalar NS operator and one spinorial R operator. The NS and R operators give rise to different types of three-point amplitudes, and thus cannot be identified.

¹Supported in part by the U.S. Department of Energy, under grant DE-FG05-91-ER40633

²Supported in part by the EC Human Capital and Mobility Programme, under contract number ERBCHBGCT920176.

1 Introduction

The $N = 2$ string acquired a new physical interpretation with the work of Ooguri and Vafa [1]. The picture that emerged was that there was just one physical state in the theory, which corresponds to a self-dual graviton in the closed string and to a self-dual Yang-Mills field in the open string. The analysis was carried out in a traditional approach which, in BRST language, corresponds to restricting attention to physical states of standard ghost structure. However, recent experience with certain string theories has shown that the complete description of the physical spectrum may require the inclusion of excitations of the ghost fields. Thus it is worthwhile to study the $N = 2$ string in the BRST framework. This subject has already received some attention in the literature, both before and after the appearance of Ref. [1]. Although incomplete, the results of these investigations seemed to indicate that a full BRST treatment led to nothing new.

The fermionic constraints of the $N = 2$ string necessarily break the full Lorentz covariance of the $(2, 2)$ signature target spacetime. Owing to the fact that $SO(2, 2)$ is isomorphic to $SL(2, R)_L \times SL(2, R)_R$, one may use a two-component spinor notation in which a spacetime vector index μ is replaced by the pair of two-component $SL(2, R)_R$ and $SL(2, R)_L$ indices $(\alpha\dot{\alpha})$. In this notation, it is the covariance under $SL(2, R)_L$ that is broken. There are three ways of choosing the mapping between the μ and $(\alpha\dot{\alpha})$ indices, one of which corresponds to grouping the two timelike directions into one complex coordinate, and the two spacelike directions into another complex coordinate. This choice corresponds to taking a *complex* structure on the spacetime, and is the one that is usually considered for the $N = 2$ string. The other two possibilities are essentially equivalent to each other, and correspond instead to grouping timelike and spacelike directions together in pairs. This corresponds to taking a *real* structure on the spacetime. It is this choice that we adopt in the present paper.

New features are highlighted when one chooses to study the $N = 2$ string in the real structure on the $(2, 2)$ spacetime. This is because the momentum components $p^{\alpha\dot{1}}$ and $p^{\alpha\dot{2}}$ of $p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$, which would be complex conjugates of each other for the complex structure on spacetime, are instead independent in the real structure. This means that special cases can arise when one vanishes and the other does not.

In this paper, we shall examine some aspects of the BRST quantisation of the $N = 2$ string with a real spacetime structure. A crucial feature of the $N = 2$ string that is not present for strings with $N \leq 1$ is that the bosonisations of the ghost fields for the fermionic currents in different bases are not locally related to one another. Thus one may expect that the physical spectrum might be different for different basis choices. In this paper, we study the physical spectrum of the $N = 2$ string in various bases, and we find that indeed there are differences, and we compare the results in different bases with those of Ref. [1]. A key point is that in the diagonal basis of fermionic currents the picture-changing operators that normally establish equivalences between classes of states at different picture numbers become non-invertible. As a consequence of this, it turns out that infinitely many additional massless physical states arise in the spectrum in such bases. These are states which, for generic on-shell momenta, are related by the picture-changing operators, but which are no longer related when certain components of their momenta vanish. This problem is more acute when one uses a real structure in spacetime, for the reasons discussed in the previous paragraph.

In the diagonal basis, there is a local operator $\exp(\lambda \int^z J^{\text{tot}})$ that relates the physical operators in the Neveu-Schwarz and Ramond sectors. It can be understood as the operator whose insertion at punctures on the sphere describes the residual moduli for the $U(1)$ gauge field on the world-sheet. Thus in the diagonal basis, the NS and R sectors are equivalent after the integration of the $U(1)$ moduli. However, in this diagonal basis, the picture-changing operators are non-invertible, and the physical operators at different pictures cannot be identified. We obtain the interactions of all the physical operators. There are three types of different momentum-dependant three-point amplitudes. We shall show that they can be effectively described by the interactions of a scalar NS operator and a bosonic spinorial R operator.

The story in the off-diagonal basis is quite different. The picture-changing operators become invertible, and hence the physical operators in different pictures can be identified. However, the operator $\exp(\lambda \int^z J^{\text{tot}})$ becomes non-local. The physical operators in the NS and R sectors, which are defined in the local Hilbert space, can no longer be related by the integration of the $U(1)$ moduli. Thus the physical spectrum is described by one scalar NS operator and one spinorial R operator. The differences between the two operators are emphasised if one computes the three-point amplitudes. There are two different three-point amplitudes, namely $\langle \text{NS}, \text{NS}, \text{NS} \rangle$ and $\langle \text{R}, \text{R}, \text{NS} \rangle$. The former has explicit momentum dependence and will vanish at some special momenta, whilst the latter is proportional to the inner product of the two polarisation spinors.

The paper is organised as follows. In sections 2 and 3, we derive the NS spectrum of the $N = 2$ string in various bases for the fermionic currents. In section 4, we investigate the interactions for the physical operators in the diagonal basis. In section 5, we obtain the interactions in the off-diagonal basis. We conclude the paper in section 6. In the appendix, we give the results for the inverses of the picture-changing operators in a generic off-diagonal basis.

2 $N = 2$ String in the Diagonal Basis

2.1 The BRST Operator

In this section, we describe the $N = 2$ string and its physical spectrum in a basis where the fermionic currents are $\pm$ eigenstates under the $U(1)$ current. We consider a matter system consisting of the four spacetime coordinates $X^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \sigma_{\mu}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} X^{\mu}$, the two-component Majorana-Weyl spinor θ^{α} and its world-sheet conjugate momentum p_{α} . In the language of conformal field theory, these satisfy the OPEs

$$X^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(z) X^{\beta\dot{\beta}}(w) \sim -\epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \log(z-w), \quad p_{\alpha}(z) \theta_{\beta}(w) \sim \frac{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}}{(z-w)}. \quad (1)$$

When we need to be explicit, we use conventions in which the spacetime metric is given by $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, -1, 1, 1)$, the indices μ, ν run from 1 to 4, and the mapping between tensor indices and two-component spinor indices is defined by

$$V^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = \begin{pmatrix} V^{1\dot{1}} & V^{1\dot{2}} \\ V^{2\dot{1}} & V^{2\dot{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} V^1 + V^4 & V^2 - V^3 \\ V^2 + V^3 & -V^1 + V^4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where V^{μ} is an arbitrary vector. The van der Waerden symbols $\sigma_{\mu}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$ thus defined satisfy $\sigma_{\mu}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \sigma^{\mu\beta\dot{\beta}} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$, where $\epsilon_{12} = \epsilon^{12} = 1$.

The $N = 2$ currents in this matter system are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
T &= -\frac{1}{2}\partial X^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial X_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}p_{\alpha}\partial\theta^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\partial p_{\alpha}\theta^{\alpha} \\
G^{\dot{1}} &= \theta_{\alpha}\partial X^{\alpha\dot{1}} \\
G^{\dot{2}} &= p_{\alpha}\partial X^{\alpha\dot{2}} \\
J &= p_{\alpha}\theta^{\alpha} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Note that we are describing the $(2, 2)$ spacetime in terms of a real structure rather than the complex structure. Here, one uses double number of the form $x + ey$, where $e^2 = 1$, rather than complex numbers. However, all the results we obtain in this real structure also apply to the complex structure.

Turning now to the BRST operator, we begin by introducing the anticommuting ghosts (b, c) and (β, γ) for the bosonic currents T and J , and the commuting ghosts $(r^{\dot{\alpha}}, s_{\dot{\alpha}})$ for the fermionic currents $G^{\dot{\alpha}}$, with $r^{\dot{\alpha}}(z)s^{\dot{\beta}}(w) \sim \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(z-w)^{-1}$. As usual in a theory with fermionic currents, it is necessary to bosonise the associated commuting ghosts. Thus we write

$$r^{\dot{\alpha}} = \partial\xi^{\dot{\alpha}}e^{-\phi_{\dot{\alpha}}} , \quad s_{\dot{\alpha}} = \eta_{\dot{\alpha}}e^{\phi_{\dot{\alpha}}} , \tag{4}$$

where $\eta_{\dot{\alpha}}$ and $\xi^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are anticommuting fields with spin 1 and 0 respectively. The OPEs of the bosonising fields are $\eta_{\dot{\alpha}}(z)\xi^{\dot{\beta}}(w) \sim \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}}(z-w)^{-1}$, and $\phi_{\dot{\alpha}}(z)\phi_{\dot{\beta}}(w) \sim -\delta_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\log(z-w)$.

The BRST operator in terms of the bosonised fields is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Q &= c\left(-\frac{1}{2}\partial X_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}\partial X^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}p_{\alpha}\partial\theta^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\partial p_{\alpha}\theta^{\alpha}\right. \\
&\quad \left.-\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_2)^2 - \partial^2\phi_1 - \partial^2\phi_2 - \beta\partial\gamma - \eta_{\dot{\alpha}}\partial\xi^{\dot{\alpha}} - b\partial c\right) \\
&\quad + \eta_1 e^{\phi_1}\theta_{\alpha}\partial X^{\alpha\dot{1}} - \eta_2 e^{\phi_2}p_{\alpha}\partial X^{\alpha\dot{2}} + b\eta_1\eta_2 e^{\phi_1+\phi_2} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}\beta\left(\eta_1\partial\eta_2 - \partial\eta_1\eta_2 - \eta_1\eta_2(\partial\phi_1 - \partial\phi_2)\right)e^{\phi_1+\phi_2} + \gamma(-p_{\alpha}\theta^{\alpha} - \partial\phi_1 + \partial\phi_2) .
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

(It is to be understood that an expression such as $e^{\phi_1+\phi_2}$ really means $:e^{\phi_1}::e^{\phi_2}:$ with cocycle factors suppressed. We have also dropped the dots on the indices of the bosonised fields when they do not appear in a covariant form, and suppressed the integration symbol.)

Since the zero modes of the $\xi^{\dot{\alpha}}$ fields are not included in the Hilbert space of physical states, there exist BRST non-trivial picture-changing operators $Z^{\dot{\alpha}} = \{Q, \xi^{\dot{\alpha}}\}$ which can give new BRST non-trivial physical operators when normal ordered with others. Explicitly, they take the form

$$Z^1 = c\partial\xi^1 - \theta_{\alpha}\partial X^{\alpha\dot{1}}e^{\phi_1} + \left(\beta\partial\eta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\partial\beta\eta_2 + \beta\eta_2\partial\phi_2 - b\eta_2\right)e^{\phi_1+\phi_2} , \tag{6}$$

$$Z^2 = c\partial\xi^2 - p_{\alpha}\partial X^{\alpha\dot{2}}e^{\phi_2} + \left(\beta\partial\eta_1 + \frac{1}{2}\partial\beta\eta_1 + \beta\eta_1\partial\phi_1 + b\eta_1\right)e^{\phi_1+\phi_2} . \tag{7}$$

Unlike the picture-changing operator in the usual $N = 1$ superstring, these operators are not invertible. This feature is not always true for the $N = 2$ string; it depends on the choice of the basis for the fermionic currents. As we shall see later, this has important consequences for the physical spectrum.

2.2 Physical Spectrum

In this subsection, we shall only consider the physical spectrum in the Neveu-Schwarz sector. The simplest physical state is of standard ghost structure, given by

$$V = c e^{-\phi_1 - \phi_2} e^{ip \cdot X} , \quad (8)$$

which is annihilated by the BRST operator provided that the mass-shell condition $p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0$ is satisfied. The conjugate operator

$$V^\dagger = \partial c c \gamma e^{-\phi_1 - \phi_2} e^{-ip \cdot X} , \quad (9)$$

is chosen by the requirement that the following inner product be non-vanishing:

$$\langle \partial^2 c \partial c c \gamma e^{-2\phi_1 - 2\phi_2} \rangle \neq 0 . \quad (10)$$

It is worth mentioning that the physical operator given in (8) is one member of a quartet, whose other three members correspond to replacing the c ghost by $\partial c c$, $c \gamma$ or $\partial c c \gamma$ respectively. Since all the physical operators that we shall consider in this paper can occur with or without γ , we shall in general suppress the γ in the non-vanishing inner product.

The physical operator given in (8) is generally believed to be the only physical degree of freedom of the theory in the NS sector. All other BRST non-trivial NS operators that are annihilated by Q are believed to be related to V by picture changing, and thus can be identified with the same physical degree of freedom. In other words, one can view two physical operators U and V as equivalent if they satisfy

$$(Z^1)^{n_1} (Z^2)^{n_2} U = \lambda (Z^1)^{m_1} (Z^2)^{m_2} V \quad (11)$$

for non-singular scaling factor λ , where n_1, n_2, m_1, m_2 are integers. The reason why one can make such an identification is that a correlation function is independent of the locations of the picture-changing operators [2], and thus U and V will give rise to the same correlation function.

If the picture-changing operators are invertible, it follows that λ in (11) is non-singular since an invertible physical operator cannot give rise to a trivial operator by normal-ordering with another non-trivial physical operator [3]. However, as mentioned in the previous subsection, the picture-changing operators given in (6) and (7) in this diagonal basis of fermionic currents are not invertible. The scaling factor λ in (11) is singular for certain physical operators and hence they can not be identified as equivalent any more. To illustrate this, let us consider the following examples:

$$\Psi = h_\alpha c \theta^\alpha e^{-\phi_2} e^{ip \cdot X} , \quad \Phi = h_\alpha c p^\alpha e^{-\phi_1} e^{ip \cdot X} . \quad (12)$$

They are physical operators provided that

$$p_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = 0 , \quad (13)$$

and that the polarisation spinor h_α satisfies

$$p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} h_\alpha = 0 . \quad (14)$$

The mass-shell condition (13) implies that $p^{\alpha\dot{1}} = \lambda p^{\alpha\dot{2}}$ for some constant λ . It follows from (14) that h_α only has one physical degree of freedom, which is generically proportional to either $p^{\alpha\dot{1}}$ or $p^{\alpha\dot{2}}$. In the case when $p^{\alpha\dot{1}} = 0$ or $p^{\alpha\dot{2}} = 0$, it follows that λ becomes singular. Under these circumstances, h_α can be only written as a multiple of either $p^{\alpha\dot{2}}$ or $p^{\alpha\dot{1}}$ respectively. When $p^{\alpha\dot{1}} \neq 0$ or $p^{\alpha\dot{2}} \neq 0$, the physical operators Ψ and Φ can be obtained by normal-ordering Z^1 or Z^2 with the physical operator V given by (8):

$$Z^1 V = p^{\alpha\dot{1}} c \theta_\alpha e^{-\phi_2} e^{ip \cdot X} , \quad Z^2 V = -p^{\alpha\dot{2}} c p_\alpha e^{-\phi_1} e^{ip \cdot X} . \quad (15)$$

However, when $p^{\alpha\dot{1}} = 0$ or $p^{\alpha\dot{2}} = 0$, the normal-ordered products $Z^1 V$ and $Z^2 V$ vanish respectively. In either case, Ψ or Φ describe an independent degree of freedom, which cannot be related to V by picture changing. This corresponds to a case where λ becomes singular in (11).

The operators (12) are two examples of an infinite number of physical operators that are identified under picture changing for generic on-shell momenta, but that become independent in special cases. Consider the following scalar-type operators:

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= c (\partial^{n-1} \theta)^2 (\partial^{n-2} \theta)^2 \dots \theta^2 e^{(n-1)\phi_1 - (n+1)\phi_2} e^{ip \cdot X} , \\ U_n &= c (\partial^{n-1} p)^2 (\partial^{n-2} p)^2 \dots p^2 e^{-(n+1)\phi_1 + (n-1)\phi_2} e^{ip \cdot X} , \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

which are all physical provided that the mass-shell condition (13) is satisfied. Note that $p^2 = p_\alpha p^\alpha$, *etc.* In addition, we introduce the spinor-type operators

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_n &= h_\alpha c \partial^{n-1} \theta^\alpha (\partial^{n-2} \theta)^2 \dots \theta^2 e^{(n-1)\phi_1 - n\phi_2} e^{ip \cdot X} , \\ \Phi_n &= h_\alpha c \partial^{n-1} p^\alpha (\partial^{n-2} p)^2 \dots p^2 e^{-n\phi_1 + (n-1)\phi_2} e^{ip \cdot X} , \\ \tilde{\Psi}_n &= h_\alpha c \partial^{n-1} \theta^\alpha (\partial^{n-2} \theta)^2 \dots \theta^2 e^{(n-2)\phi_1 - (n+1)\phi_2} e^{ip \cdot X} , \\ \tilde{\Phi}_n &= h_\alpha c \partial^{n-1} p^\alpha (\partial^{n-2} p)^2 \dots p^2 e^{-(n+1)\phi_1 + (n-2)\phi_2} e^{ip \cdot X} , \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

which are physical provided that the conditions (13) and (14) are satisfied. Note that the operators V , Ψ and Φ that we discussed previously are the special cases V_0 , Ψ_1 and Φ_1 respectively.

For generic on-shell momentum, where neither $p^{\alpha\dot{1}}$ nor $p^{\alpha\dot{2}}$ vanishes, all the above physical operators can be identified as equivalent under picture changing. Specifically, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1 V_n &= \Psi_{n+1} = Z^2 V_{n+1} , \\ Z^1 U_n &= \Phi_n = Z^2 U_{n-1} , \\ Z^1 \tilde{\Psi}_n &= V_n = Z^2 \tilde{\Psi}_{n+1} , \\ Z^1 \tilde{\Phi}_{n+1} &= U_n = Z^2 \tilde{\Phi}_n , \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where we have suppressed the non-singular scaling factors. Note that if we bosonise the θ^α and p_α fields, all the above physical operators are pure exponentials. We may then continue the indices to arbitrary negative as well as positive integers. In fact now we have the identities $U_n = V_{-n}$, $\Phi_n = \Psi_{-n-1}$ and $\tilde{\Phi}_n = \tilde{\Psi}_{-n-1}$. This means that the equations (18) can be reduced to just the first and third equations, giving a chain of identifications for all these physical operators. This chain breaks down if $p^{\alpha\dot{1}} = 0$ or $p^{\alpha\dot{2}} = 0$, since then Z^1 or Z^2 respectively will annihilate all of the physical operators on which they act. Thus there are an infinite number of

physical operators in the spectrum. These physical operators, although not equivalent for the special values of momenta, can be related by picture changing for generic on-shell momenta. One must therefore make a choice as to which is to be selected as the representative that describes the massless spacetime field in the $N = 2$ string theory. The requirement of this massless operator is that one can construct all correlation functions with non-vanishing inner product by multiple insertions of only this operator together with the necessary picture-changing operators. Then the NS spectrum of the $N = 2$ string in the diagonal basis is described by this massless operator that is well-defined for all on-shell momenta, together with an additional infinite number of massless operators that are defined for $p^{\alpha\dot{1}} = 0$ or $p^{\alpha\dot{2}} = 0$.

3 $N = 2$ String in Off-diagonal Bases

Our discussion in the previous section was for the case where the fermionic currents of the $N = 2$ algebra were the $\pm$ eigenstates under the $U(1)$ current. In this section, we investigate the $N = 2$ string with an off-diagonal basis of fermionic currents. Contrary to naive expectations, such a change of basis can actually affect the physical spectrum of the theory. We shall discuss this further at the end of the section.

Since the fermionic currents appear in the BRST operator in the form $s_{\dot{\alpha}} G^{\dot{\alpha}}$, the change of the basis of $G^{\dot{\alpha}}$ is equivalent to a change of basis for the ghosts $s_{\dot{\alpha}}$. For our purposes, the following canonical transformation is sufficiently general:

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &\longrightarrow s_1 + x_1 s_2, & r^1 &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{1 - x_1 x_2} (r^1 - x_2 r^2), \\ s_2 &\longrightarrow s_2 + x_2 s_1, & r^2 &\longrightarrow \frac{1}{1 - x_1 x_2} (r^2 - x_1 r^1). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where x_1, x_2 are arbitrary constants. After the bosonisation of the new ghost fields by using (4), the BRST operator for the off-diagonal $N = 2$ string becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q} = & c \left(-\frac{1}{2} \partial X_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial X^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} p_{\alpha} \partial \theta^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \partial p_{\alpha} \theta^{\alpha} - \eta_{\alpha} \partial \xi^{\alpha} - \beta \partial \gamma \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial \phi_2)^2 - \partial^2 \phi_1 - \partial^2 \phi_2 - b \partial c \Big) + \eta_1 \theta_{\alpha} \partial X^{\alpha\dot{1}} e^{\phi_1} \\ & - \eta_2 p_{\alpha} \partial X^{\alpha\dot{2}} e^{\phi_2} - x_1 \eta_2 \theta_{\alpha} \partial X^{\alpha\dot{1}} e^{\phi_2} + x_2 \eta_1 p_{\alpha} \partial X^{\alpha\dot{2}} e^{\phi_1} \\ & + (1 + x_1 x_2) b \eta_1 \eta_2 e^{\phi_1 + \phi_2} + x_1 b \partial \eta_2 \eta_2 e^{2\phi_2} + x_2 b \partial \eta_1 \eta_1 e^{2\phi_1} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (1 - x_1 x_2) \beta \left(\partial \eta_1 \eta_2 - \eta_1 \partial \eta_2 + \eta_1 \eta_2 (\partial \phi_1 - \partial \phi_2) \right) e^{\phi_1 + \phi_2} \\ & + \gamma \left(-p_{\alpha} \theta^{\alpha} + \frac{1 + x_1 x_2}{1 - x_1 x_2} (\partial \phi_1 - \partial \phi_2) \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{2x_1}{1 - x_1 x_2} \eta_2 \partial \xi^1 e^{-\phi_1 + \phi_2} - \frac{2x_2}{1 - x_1 x_2} \eta_1 \partial \xi^2 e^{\phi_1 - \phi_2} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

The picture-changing operators in this generic basis are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}^1 = & c \partial \xi^1 + \frac{1}{2} (1 - x_1 x_2) \left(\partial \beta \eta_2 + 2\beta \partial \eta_2 + 2\beta \eta_2 \partial \phi_2 \right) e^{\phi_1 + \phi_2} \\ & + x_2 \left(\partial b \eta_1 + 2b \partial \eta_1 + 2b \eta_1 \partial \phi_1 \right) e^{2\phi_1} - (1 + x_1 x_2) b \eta_2 e^{\phi_1 + \phi_2} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{2x_2}{1-x_1x_2} \gamma \partial \xi^2 e^{\phi_1-\phi_2} - x_2 p_\alpha \partial X^{\alpha\dot{2}} e^{\phi_1} - \theta_\alpha \partial X^{\alpha\dot{1}} e^{\phi_1} , \\
\tilde{Z}^2 & = c \partial \xi^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1-x_1x_2) \left(\partial \beta \eta_1 + 2\beta \partial \eta_1 + 2\beta \eta_1 \partial \phi_1 \right) e^{\phi_1+\phi_2} \\
& + x_1 \left(\partial b \eta_2 + 2b \partial \eta_2 + 2b \eta_2 \partial \phi_2 \right) e^{2\phi_2} + (1+x_1x_2) b \eta_1 e^{\phi_1+\phi_2} \\
& + \frac{2x_1}{1-x_1x_2} \gamma \partial \xi^1 e^{-\phi_1+\phi_2} - x_1 \theta_\alpha \partial X^{\alpha\dot{1}} e^{\phi_2} - p_\alpha \partial X^{\alpha\dot{2}} e^{\phi_2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The terms involving $e^{2\phi_1}$ and $e^{2\phi_2}$ in (21) and (22), which are absent in the diagonal basis, $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, are crucial for the invertibility of these operators. If $x_2 \neq 0$ or $x_1 \neq 0$, then $(\tilde{Z}^1)^{-1}$ or $(\tilde{Z}^2)^{-1}$ exist, given by

$$(\tilde{Z}^1)^{-1} = \frac{1}{x_2} c \partial \xi^1 e^{-2\phi_1} + \text{more} , \quad (\tilde{Z}^2)^{-1} = \frac{1}{x_1} c \partial \xi^2 e^{-2\phi_2} + \text{more} \tag{23}$$

respectively. As discussed in Ref. [5] for the special case where $x_1 = -x_2 = 1$, the leading terms of the inverse picture-changing operators are not by themselves BRST invariant, but one can add an infinite number of additional pure ghost terms to achieve BRST invariance. We find that this is true also for arbitrary non-singular values of x_1 and x_2 , although the structure of the inverse operators is more complicated. Details can be found in the Appendix.

We now turn to the spectrum of the $N = 2$ string in this off-diagonal basis. The operator V given in (8) is still physical provided the mass-shell condition (13) is satisfied. The operators that can be obtained from the chains of identification (18) no longer have the simple forms given in (16) and (17). For example, we now have,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Z}^1 V & = \left(p^{\alpha\dot{1}} c \theta_\alpha e^{-\phi_2} + x_2 p^{\alpha\dot{2}} c p_\alpha e^{-\phi_2} - x_2 \eta_1 e^{\phi_1-\phi_2} - \frac{2x_2}{1-x_1x_2} c \gamma \partial \xi^2 e^{-2\phi_2} \right) e^{ip \cdot X} , \\
\tilde{Z}^2 V & = - \left(p^{\alpha\dot{2}} c p_\alpha e^{-\phi_1} + x_1 p^{\alpha\dot{1}} c \theta_\alpha e^{-\phi_1} + x_1 \eta_2 e^{-\phi_1+\phi_2} + \frac{2x_1}{1-x_1x_2} c \gamma \partial \xi^1 e^{-2\phi_1} \right) e^{ip \cdot X} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

One can clearly see the differences between the $\tilde{Z}^1, \tilde{Z}^2$ operators and the Z^1, Z^2 operators which are the special cases for the diagonal basis $x_1 = x_2 = 0$. The Z^1 or Z^2 operators annihilate physical operators when $p^{\alpha\dot{1}} = 0$ or $p^{\alpha\dot{2}} = 0$ respectively. On the other hand, we see that $\tilde{Z}^1 V$ and $\tilde{Z}^2 V$ are non-zero for all on-shell momenta, as must be the case since $\tilde{Z}^1$ and $\tilde{Z}^2$ have inverses for non-singular values of x_2 and x_1 .

The discussion of the cohomology becomes delicate when one has physical operators that involve infinite sums of terms, which occurs in the off-diagonal bases. For example, this is the case for the conjugates of the operators (24), for all on-shell momenta. On the other hand, the operator $\tilde{Z}^1 V$ can be written as the BRST commutator $\{\tilde{Q}, \chi\}$ where χ itself involves an infinite sum of terms, for the special momentum $p^{\alpha\dot{1}} = 0$. Thus one has to define carefully the class of operators that one allows in the Hilbert space and its conjugate space in order to discuss the BRST triviality of a physical state. The most natural choice is to require that the Hilbert space of states involve operators with only finitely many terms, while the space of the conjugate states can involve operators with infinitely many terms. This avoids the occurrence of infinite sums in the evaluation of inner products. Thus a physical operator V with finitely many terms is only BRST trivial if it is expressible as $\{\tilde{Q}, S\}$ for some S that also has a finite number of

terms. Note that the inverse picture changing operators are allowable, even though they have infinitely many terms, since they live in the space of conjugate operators. With this definition of allowable states, the cohomology in the off-diagonal bases differs from the cohomology in the diagonal basis. One way to understand this difference is to note that a physical operator with finitely many terms in the diagonal basis may map into a physical operator with infinitely many terms in the off-diagonal bases, and vice versa. In the off-diagonal bases, consistency requires us to allow physical states in the conjugate space that have infinitely many terms; however, in the diagonal basis, it is consistent to consider physical states with only finitely many terms in the whole Hilbert space of states and conjugates.

To summarise, for the $N = 2$ string in a completely off-diagonal basis, *i.e.* where $x_1 x_2 \neq 0$, both picture-changing operators are invertible, and thus the physical spectrum in the NS sector is described by a single massless state. There is also a massless R operator in the spectrum which we shall discuss in section 5. In the diagonal basis, where $x_1 = x_2 = 0$, neither picture-changing operator is invertible, and there are additional physical states in the NS spectrum with further momentum constraints. These were discussed in detail in section 2. There are intermediate semi-diagonal cases, where either $x_1 = 0$ or $x_2 = 0$. In these cases, one picture-changing operator is invertible whilst the other is not. Under these circumstances, half of the additional physical states discussed in section 2 can be identified under the invertible picture-changing operator.

4 Interactions in the Diagonal Basis

4.1 Inner Product

The physical spectrum of a theory is defined in the BRST formalism by the set of operators that are closed but not exact under the BRST operator. One needs to define an inner product to establish a pairing of physical states and their conjugates. The choice of an inner product for a consistent theory is not in general unique. A necessary requirement is that no BRST trivial operator should have a non-zero inner product with any physical operator and that every BRST non-trivial physical operator should have a conjugate pair with which it has non-vanishing norm. In a string theory, there is a simple procedure for defining an inner-product that meets this requirement. It can be summarised as the requirement of momentum conservation in the functional integral over the products of operators. For the spacetime coordinates, it is just the usual conservation law of spacetime momentum. For the fermionic matter and the ghost sector, one can bosonise these fields and again require the conservation of momentum, which is usually modified by the background charges of the bosonising fields. (This requirement should not be imposed for fields such as $\xi^{\dot{\alpha}}$, whose zero modes are excluded from the Hilbert space.)

The inner product given in (10) is the one for the $N = 2$ string that follows by applying the above procedure. To be more precise, it is the one that corresponds to taking the $N = 2$ algebra in its standard untwisted form, where both fermionic currents have spin $\frac{3}{2}$. However, the $N = 2$ algebra can be twisted by redefining the energy-momentum tensor

$$\tilde{T} = T + \frac{1}{2}(1 - s)\partial J, \quad (25)$$

for arbitrary s . In the diagonal basis, but not in a generic basis, the fermionic currents remain

primary under this redefined energy-momentum tensor, albeit with different conformal spins $(2 - \frac{1}{2}s)$ and $(1 + \frac{1}{2}s)$. In our realisation (3), this corresponds to $(p_\alpha, \theta^\alpha)$ having spins $(\frac{1}{2}s, 1 - \frac{1}{2}s)$. The spins of the ghost fields (c, b) , (γ, β) and $(\eta_\alpha, \xi^\alpha)$ are unchanged, and the energy-momentum tensor for the ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 fields becomes $T_\phi = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_1)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(s-3)\partial^2\phi_1 - \frac{1}{2}(s+1)\partial^2\phi_2$. If we bosonise the $(p_\alpha, \theta^\alpha)$ fields as

$$p_\alpha = e^{-i\sigma_\alpha} , \quad \theta^\alpha = e^{i\sigma_\alpha} , \quad (26)$$

then the requirement of conservation of momentum in the functional integral leads to the following inner product:

$$\left\langle \partial^2 c \partial c c \gamma e^{i(s-1)\sigma_1 + i(s-1)\sigma_2 + (s-3)\phi_1 - (s+1)\phi_2} \right\rangle \neq 0 . \quad (27)$$

If s lies in the range $-2 \leq s \leq 4$, all the matter currents have non-negative spin. For interactions involving only the Neveu-Schwarz sector, s has to take integer values, in which case, the inner product defined in (27) can be re-expressed in terms of p_α or θ^α .

In the BRST language, this twisting of the algebra corresponds to a canonical field redefinition of the ghost fields (c, b) and (γ, β) , whose spins are independent of the twisting:

$$\begin{aligned} c &\longrightarrow c , & \gamma &\longrightarrow \gamma + \frac{1}{2}(1-s)\partial c , \\ \beta &\longrightarrow \beta , & b &\longrightarrow b + \frac{1}{2}(1-s)\partial\beta . \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Thus we can reinterpret (27) as defining different choices of inner product for the same untwisted $N = 2$ string. One can easily verify that all the physical operators given by (16) and (17) have their conjugate pairs under this general definition of the inner product (27).

In the above discussion of inner products, we considered the theory in a gauge-fixed background. Eventually, in the construction of the string theory, one integrates over the moduli for the world-sheet gauge fields. In particular, the $U(1)$ moduli for the spin-1 current $J^{\text{tot}} \equiv \{Q, \beta\}$ are present even at the tree level, since they correspond to integrations over instanton sectors associated with the points where the external states puncture the sphere. Since there is a term $\int d^2z A J^{\text{tot}}$ in the world-sheet Lagrangian, integration over the moduli for the $U(1)$ gauge field A reduces to the insertion of an operator

$$N_\lambda \equiv e^{\lambda \int^z J^{\text{tot}}} = (1 + \lambda c\beta) e^{\lambda(+i\sigma_1 + i\sigma_2 - \phi_1 + \phi_2)} \quad (29)$$

at each vertex, where λ is a constant parameter which is eventually integrated over. In fact the physical operators V_n and U_n given in (16), which in bosonised form are given by

$$Y_t = c e^{i(t-1)\sigma_1 + i(t-1)\sigma_2 + (t-2)\phi_1 - t\phi_2} e^{ip \cdot X} , \quad (30)$$

with $V_n = Y_{n+1}$ and $U_n = Y_{-n+1}$, are all related by the operator N_λ defined in (29), since we can, for example, write $Y_t = (N_{t-1} Y_1)$. The parametrisation of different inner products that we described above corresponds precisely to the parametrisation of the $U(1)$ moduli. Thus we may, without loss of generality, always choose the $s = 1$ inner product (10), and from now on, we shall assume that this choice is made.

It should be emphasised that the above discussion applies only to the diagonal basis for the fermionic currents. In the off-diagonal bases, J^{tot} is no longer expressible as the derivative of a local expression built from the bosonising fields, and so no local form for the operator N_λ in (29) can be given. Correspondingly, there is no freedom to choose different inner products in an off-diagonal basis.

4.2 Amplitudes

In the BRST formalism, there is a necessary condition for building a non-vanishing n -point function, *i.e.* the conservation of momentum in the functional integral. This requires the conservation law of spacetime momentum, and that the product of the physical operators has to include the structure that gives a non-vanishing inner product. If this condition is satisfied, it becomes a matter of detailed computation to determine the result.

In sections 2 and 3, we obtained the physical spectrum in the NS sector for the $N = 2$ string, and showed that it is different in different bases for the fermionic currents. The physical spectrum for the $N = 2$ string in completely off-diagonal bases in the NS sector is described by a single massless operator. When the fermionic currents are in the diagonal basis, physical operators in different pictures cannot be identified owing to the non-invertibility of the picture-changing operators. In the subsection we construct tree-level amplitudes for the $N = 2$ string in the diagonal basis. We shall discuss the amplitudes for the off-diagonal bases in section 5.

The massless operators in the diagonal basis can be described by the bosonised expression Y_t given in (30). Different values for the parameter t correspond to different values for the $U(1)$ moduli. In a tree-level string scattering amplitude, the values of the t parameters will be integrated out. If, for some choice of the parameters, momentum conservation can be satisfied, then a non-vanishing amplitude can result when the integrations are performed. To build a non-vanishing three-point function, it is necessary to insert picture-changing operators in order to be able to achieve momentum conservation. In fact there are in total three possibilities, namely the insertion of $Z^1 Z^2$ or $Z^1 Z^1$ or $Z^2 Z^2$. For the first of these, we find that the three-point amplitude takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle Z^1 Y_1(z_1) Z^2 Y_1(z_2) Y_1(z_3) \right\rangle = \\ & = \left\langle p_{(1)}^{\alpha \dot{1}} c \theta_\alpha e^{-\phi_2} e^{ip_{(1)} \cdot X}(z_1) p_{(2)}^{\beta \dot{2}} c p_\beta e^{-\phi_1} e^{ip_{(2)} \cdot X}(z_2) c e^{-\phi_1 - \phi_2} e^{ip_{(3)} \cdot X}(z_3) \right\rangle \\ & = c_{12} , \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where

$$c_{ij} = p_{(i) \alpha}^{\dot{1}} p_{(j)}^{\alpha \dot{2}} - p_{(j) \alpha}^{\dot{1}} p_{(i)}^{\alpha \dot{2}} . \quad (32)$$

The mass-shell condition (13) was used in order to write c_{ij} in the antisymmetric form (32). We have made a specific choice for the t parameters for the Y_t operators that gives the non-zero result. In fact, the result is the same for any choice of the three parameters t_1 , t_2 and t_3 such that $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 3$. For any other choice, the result is zero.

If instead we insert two Z^1 picture-changing operators in a three-point function, then the momentum balance is modified and now the three physical operators Y_t must have parameters

satisfying $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 4$ in order to obtain a non-zero result. The amplitude is then given by

$$\langle Z^1 Y_{t_1}(z_1) Z^1 Y_{t_2}(z_2) Y_{t_3}(z_3) \rangle = b_{12} , \quad (33)$$

where

$$b_{ij} = p_{(i)\alpha}^1 p_{(j)}^{\alpha 1} . \quad (34)$$

It is easy to see that the b_{ij} 's are antisymmetric.

Finally, if two Z^2 picture-changing operators are used, we obtain a non-vanishing three-point amplitude for physical operators Y_t whose parameters satisfy $t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 2$:

$$\langle Z^2 Y_{t_1}(z_1) Z^2 Y_{t_2}(z_2) Y_{t_3}(z_3) \rangle = \tilde{b}_{12} , \quad (35)$$

where

$$\tilde{b}_{ij} = p_{(i)\alpha}^2 p_{(j)}^{\alpha 2} . \quad (36)$$

The above three-point amplitudes, obtained by inserting the three possible combinations of two picture-changing operators, represent the only possible ways of achieving momentum conservation and hence a non-vanishing result. We observe that they give three different results, namely c_{12} , b_{12} and $\tilde{b}_{12}$. Indeed, one can be zero while another is not. Since the three-point amplitudes are different, it follows that physical operators in different pictures cannot be identified. This is consistent with our discussion in section 2, where we saw that in the diagonal basis the picture-changing operators are not invertible, and that consequently the identification of physical operators in different pictures breaks down at special values of the spacetime momenta.

In the diagonal basis, the operator Y_t is physical for all values of t . In particular, when t is an integer it can be viewed as a Neveu-Schwarz operator. If instead we allow t to take half-integer values, it becomes a Ramond operator. Since all the Y_t operators are related by the operator N_λ given in (29), it suffices to consider just the NS sector. However, this does not imply that there is only one physical operator in the spectrum, since, as revealed by the three-point amplitudes, these physical operators give rise to different interactions. The physical operators with the same pictures as $Z^1 Y_t$ and $Z^2 Y_t$ cannot be identified with Y_t itself, nor with each other since there exist physical operators in these pictures even when $Z^1 Y_t$ and $Z^2 Y_t$ are zero at special momenta. Determining the minimal set of inequivalent physical operators is not easy in the diagonal basis, owing to the pathology associated with the non-invertibility of the picture-changing operators. We shall postpone further discussion of this point until the next section, where we shall consider the interactions in non-diagonal bases, which do not suffer from this pathology.

Let us now consider the four-point amplitudes in the diagonal basis. Again, there are only three possible sets of picture-changing operators that can be inserted in order to satisfy momentum conservation. The three possible amplitudes are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Z^1 Y \ Z^1 Y \oint b \ Z^2 Y \ Z^2 Y \rangle &= (-stu + u \ c_{14} \ c_{23} + t \ c_{13} \ c_{24}) \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}s)\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}t)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}u)} , \\ \langle Z^1 Y \ Z^1 Y \oint b \ Z^1 Y \ Z^2 Y \rangle &= (-b_{14} \ us + b_{13} \ c_{24} \ s + b_{12} \ c_{34} \ u) \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}s)\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}t)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}u)} , \\ \langle Z^2 Y \ Z^2 Y \oint b \ Z^2 Y \ Z^1 Y \rangle &= (\tilde{b}_{14} \ us + \tilde{b}_{13} \ c_{24} \ s + \tilde{b}_{12} \ c_{34} \ u) \frac{\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}s)\Gamma(-\frac{1}{2}t)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}u)} , \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

where s , t and u are the Mandelstam variables, *i.e.* $p_{(i)\alpha}^{\dot{1}} p_{(j)}^{\alpha\dot{2}} + p_{(j)\alpha}^{\dot{1}} p_{(i)}^{\alpha\dot{2}}$ for $(i, j) = (1, 2)$, $(1, 4)$ and $(1, 3)$ respectively. In each case the t parameters on the physical operators Y_t have been suppressed, and should be chosen so as to achieve momentum conservation.

These four-point amplitudes in fact vanish identically for kinematical reasons, since the momentum-dependent prefactors are all zero:

$$\begin{aligned} stu - u c_{14} c_{23} - t c_{13} c_{24} &= 0 , \\ b_{14} us - b_{13} c_{24} s - b_{12} c_{34} u &= 0 , \\ \tilde{b}_{14} us + \tilde{b}_{13} c_{24} s + \tilde{b}_{12} c_{34} u &= 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

These identities are in fact elementary consequences of the mass-shell condition (13) and the conservation law of spacetime momentum in the four-point function: The mass-shell condition implies that

$$p_{(i)\alpha}^{\dot{2}} = \lambda_{(i)} p_{(i)\alpha}^{\dot{1}} \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4 . \tag{39}$$

where the $\lambda_{(i)}$'s are arbitrary constants. Thus the conservation law of momentum can be expressed as

$$\sum_{i=1}^4 p_{(i)\alpha}^{\dot{1}} = 0 , \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_{(i)} p_{(i)\alpha}^{\dot{1}} = 0 . \tag{40}$$

These four equations can be used to solve four parameters. Substituting equation (39) and the solved parameters into the right-hand sides of the equations (38), one can easily show that they vanish identically. Note that we presented the proof for the case of a real structure on the $(2, 2)$ spacetime; the proof in the case of the complex structure is analogous, giving a simpler derivation of the result obtained in [1]. Thus the vanishing of the four-point amplitudes in the $N = 2$ string is a direct consequence of the $(2, 2)$ signature, independent of the choice of real or complex structure.

5 Interactions in Off-diagonal Bases

In section 3 we described the $N = 2$ string in a family of off-diagonal bases for the spin- $\frac{3}{2}$ currents, and obtained the physical spectrum in the NS sector. In these cases the picture-changing operators are invertible, and hence the physical operators in different pictures can be identified. Thus there is only one physical degree of freedom in the NS sector. There is also a physical operator in the Ramond sector. We find that it is given by

$$W = c e^{-\frac{1}{2}\phi_1 - \frac{1}{2}\phi_2} \left(h_1 i e^{\frac{i}{2}\sigma_1 - \frac{i}{2}\sigma_2} + h_2 e^{-\frac{i}{2}\sigma_1 + \frac{i}{2}\sigma_2} \right) e^{ip \cdot X} \equiv h_\alpha W^\alpha . \tag{41}$$

It is physical if the mass-shell condition (13) and the spinor polarisation condition $p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} h_\alpha = 0$ are satisfied. Note that this operator is physical in all the bases of the fermionic currents that we discussed in sections 2 and 3, including the diagonal basis. On the other hand, the Y_t operators given by (30) are no longer physical, except at $t = 1$, in any of the off-diagonal bases.

The Ramond operators in different pictures in the off-diagonal bases can be identified by means of the picture-changing operators. Thus there are two physical operators in the spectrum. They can be represented by two operators whose forms are independent of the choice of basis

for the fermionic currents, namely the NS operator $V = Y_1$ given by (8), and the R operator W given by (41).

In the diagonal basis, as we discussed in section 3, physical states in the NS and R sectors can be related by the operator N_λ given in (29) that parametrises the $U(1)$ gauge-field moduli. However such a relation cannot be made in the off-diagonal bases, since then the operator N_λ is non-local in the bosonised field variables. To see this, we note from (20) that the total $U(1)$ current is given by

$$\begin{aligned} J^{\text{tot}} = & \partial \left(c\beta + i\sigma_1 + i\sigma_2 + \frac{1+x_1x_2}{1-x_1x_2}(\phi_1 - \phi_2) \right) \\ & - \frac{2x_1}{1-x_1x_2} \eta_2 \partial \xi^1 e^{-\phi_1+\phi_2} - \frac{2x_2}{1-x_1x_2} \eta_1 \partial \xi^2 e^{\phi_1-\phi_2} . \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Since N_λ is defined as $\exp(\lambda \int^z J^{\text{tot}})$, we see that although the terms in the first line in J^{tot} will integrate to give a local expression, those in the second line, which are present in any of the off-diagonal basis choices, will not.

The upshot of the above discussion is that there appears to be no way of identifying the NS and R physical operators in the off-diagonal bases. This point is reinforced by looking at the pattern of interactions. For the three-point amplitudes, there are two possibilities, namely the interaction of three NS operators, or the interaction of two R operators with one NS operator. For the first of these we have

$$\langle Z^1 V Z^2 V V \rangle = c_{12} , \quad (43)$$

just as in the diagonal basis, where c_{12} is given by (32). For the second of the three-point amplitudes, we find

$$\langle W W V \rangle = h_{(1)\alpha} h_{(2)}^\alpha . \quad (44)$$

Note that in this latter case no picture-changing operators are required, and hence there is no explicit momentum dependence in the three-point amplitude. Thus comparing the two three-point amplitudes, we see that they are manifestly inequivalent. In particular, the amplitude (43) vanishes for special values of the momenta, whereas the amplitude (44) does not.

The above remarks deserve further comment. The polarisation spinor h_α in the Ramond operator (41) satisfies the physical-state condition $p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} h_\alpha = 0$. For generic on-shell momenta, the solution to this condition can be written as either $h^\alpha \propto p^{\alpha\dot{1}}$ or $h^\alpha \propto p^{\alpha\dot{2}}$, since these momentum components are proportional to each other on shell. However, there is no way of explicitly solving the physical-state condition for h_α in a way that remains non-singular for all allowable on-shell momenta, including the cases where $p^{\alpha\dot{1}} = 0$ or $p^{\alpha\dot{2}} = 0$. Thus we see that the Ramond operator (41) is intrinsically spinorial in character, in the sense that it should properly be described with a polarisation spinor h_α rather than as a scalar like the NS operator (8).

The differences between the Ramond operator and the Neveu-Schwarz operator are highlighted when one chooses, as we do in this paper, a *real* structure on the $(2,2)$ spacetime, as opposed to the complex structure used in [1]. In the complex case, if one uses the two-component spinor notation, the analogous momentum components $p^{\alpha\dot{1}}$ and $p^{\alpha\dot{2}}$ are related by complex conjugation, and thus the vanishing of one implies the vanishing of the other. In that case, therefore, one can identify the NS and R operators without encountering inconsistencies,

since their correlation functions can be viewed as equivalent when the momenta are on shell. However, the fact that the NS and R operators cannot be identified in the case of the real structure shows that there is no symmetry that forces one to make the identification. Thus the identification that is customarily made in the case of the complex structure should be viewed as a consistent choice, rather than as a requirement imposed by symmetry.

The description of the Ramond sector in terms of the spinor operator (41), and its interaction with the NS operator, is also valid in the diagonal basis for the fermionic currents. Moreover, if we solve the physical-state condition on the polarisation spinor by writing h_α in terms of the on-shell momentum, the three-point function (44) becomes a linear combination of c_{12} , b_{12} and $\tilde{b}_{12}$, precisely reproducing the interactions for the diagonal basis that we described in section 4. Thus the best way to organise the physical states in the diagonal basis, which did not emerge in the presentation in terms of scalar-type operators in sections 3 and 4, is by means of a scalar NS operator and a spinorial R operator. In the diagonal basis, the physical operators in the NS and R sectors are related by the $U(1)$ modulus. One might therefore think that the physical spectrum in the theory is described by only one massless operator. However, owing to the non-invertibility of the picture-changing operators, the physical operators at the different pictures cannot be identified for all on-shell momenta. The three-point interactions of all the physical operators yield precisely the three types of amplitudes c_{12} , b_{12} and $\tilde{b}_{12}$. Thus the physical degrees of freedom in the diagonal basis can also be effectively described by a scalar NS operator and a spinorial R operator.

There is also a further advantage that results from this way of describing the physical operators in the diagonal basis: Although the manifest $SO(2,2) = SL(2,R)_L \times SL(2,R)_R$ Lorentz covariance is broken by the $N = 2$ constraints, since the $SL(2,R)_L$ covariance of the dotted indices is broken, the momentum-dependent quantity c_{12} arising in the three-point amplitude for the NS operators does at least preserve an $SO(1,1)$ subgroup of $SL(2,R)_L$. On the other hand, this subgroup is broken by b_{12} and $\tilde{b}_{12}$. However, if we instead describe the additional physical degrees of freedom by the spinorial-type R operator (41), the breaking of the residual $SO(1,1)$ is avoided.

So far we have focussed our attention on the holomorphic sector of the $N = 2$ string. For a theory of closed strings, this should be combined with the corresponding anti-holomorphic sector. This leads to four physical operators, namely

$$\Phi = V \bar{V}, \quad F = h_{\alpha\beta} W^\alpha \bar{W}^\beta, \quad \chi = h_\alpha V \bar{W}^\alpha, \quad \psi = g_\alpha W^\alpha \bar{V}, \quad (45)$$

where W^α is defined in (41), $h_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\beta\alpha}$, and the barred operators are in the anti-holomorphic sector. The operators (45) are physical provided that the mass-shell condition (13) is satisfied and that $p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} h_\alpha = 0$, $p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} g_\alpha = 0$ and $p^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} h_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. The three-point amplitudes that can be built from these operators are

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi \Phi \Phi \rangle &= c_{12}^2, & \langle \chi \chi \Phi \rangle &= c_{12} h_{(1)\alpha} h_{(2)}^\alpha, & \langle \psi \psi \Phi \rangle &= c_{12} g_{(1)\alpha} g_{(2)}^\alpha, \\ \langle F F \Phi \rangle &= h_{(1)\alpha\beta} h_{(2)}^{\alpha\beta}, & \langle F \chi \psi \rangle &= h_{(1)\alpha\beta} h_{(2)}^\alpha g_{(3)}^\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

The Lagrangian for the spacetime fields $\{\Phi, \chi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha, F_{\alpha\beta}\}$ associated with these operators is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Phi \partial^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Phi + \zeta^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \chi^\alpha + \lambda^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \psi^\alpha + \chi_\alpha \psi^\alpha + \Lambda^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\beta\dot{\beta}} F^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2} F_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{3}\Phi \partial_\alpha \partial_{\tilde{\beta}} \Phi \partial^\alpha \partial^{\tilde{\beta}} \Phi + \frac{1}{2}\Phi \partial_\alpha \partial_{\tilde{\beta}} \lambda_{\tilde{\gamma}} \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}} \partial^{\beta\gamma} \lambda_{\tilde{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2}\Phi \partial_\alpha \partial_{\tilde{\beta}} \zeta_{\tilde{\gamma}} \partial^{\tilde{\alpha}} \partial^{\beta\gamma} \zeta_{\tilde{\gamma}} \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\Phi \partial_\alpha \partial_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}} \partial^{\alpha\tilde{\gamma}} \partial^{\beta\tilde{\delta}} \Lambda_{\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{\delta}} + \partial_\alpha \partial_{\tilde{\beta}} \Lambda_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}} \partial^{\alpha\tilde{\gamma}} \lambda_{\tilde{\gamma}} \partial^{\beta\tilde{\delta}} \zeta_{\tilde{\delta}},
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

where we define $\partial_\alpha \equiv \partial_{\alpha^1}$ and $\partial_{\tilde{\alpha}} \equiv \partial_{\alpha^2}$. The terms in this Lagrangian that involve only the Φ field were first derived by Ooguri and Vafa [1] for the closed $N = 2$ string with the complex structure on the $(2, 2)$ spacetime. This part of the Lagrangian describes self-dual gravity in $2 + 2$ dimensions. The metric is described by $g_{\alpha\tilde{\beta}} = g_{\tilde{\beta}\alpha} = \partial_\alpha \partial_{\tilde{\beta}} K$, where K is the Kähler potential $K = X_{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}} X^{\alpha\tilde{\alpha}} + \phi$. The line element is given by $ds^2 = g_{\alpha\tilde{\beta}} dX^{\alpha 1} dX^{\tilde{\beta} 2}$. The reason for introducing the tilded indices is that we are describing the $(2, 2)$ spacetime in terms of a real structure rather than the complex structure. Here, one uses double numbers of the form $x + ey$, where $e^2 = 1$, rather than complex numbers [4]. The tilde denotes the conjugation operation under which $\tilde{e} = -e$. Variations of the Lagrangian with respect to χ_α , ψ_α and $F_{\alpha\tilde{\beta}}$ give the expressions of these fields in terms of potentials $\lambda_{\tilde{\alpha}}$, $\zeta_{\tilde{\alpha}}$ and $\Lambda_{\tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\beta}}$ respectively.

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we have investigated the physical spectrum and interactions of the $N = 2$ string with a real structure in the $(2, 2)$ spacetime by using BRST methods. Several new features have come to light. In the BSRT formalism, the ghosts for the fermionic currents have to be bosonised, and since this is a non-local field redefinition, it has the consequence that the physical spectrum can be altered by performing a rotation of the two fermionic currents of the $N = 2$ superconformal algebra. This rotation can map a physical state with finitely many terms in one basis into a state with infinitely many terms in another basis. The allowable class of physical states and their conjugates has to be carefully defined, and can lead to different cohomologies in different bases, as discussed in section 4. This can be illustrated by studying the structure of the picture-changing operators. In a generic basis for the fermionic currents, the two picture-changing operators are invertible, and hence the physical operators in different pictures can be identified. However, in degenerate cases, for example the diagonal basis where the two fermionic currents are $\pm$ eigenstates under the spin-1 current, the picture-changing operators do not have inverses, and consequently the physical spectrum is enlarged.

We studied the physical spectrum in the off-diagonal basis. There is one scalar NS operator and one bosonic spinorial R operator. In this off-diagonal basis, there is no local operator of the form $\exp(\lambda \int^z J^{\text{tot}})$ that relates the physical operators in the NS and R sectors. Thus in the off-diagonal basis, the NS and R operators cannot be identified. This idea was reinforced by studying the interaction of the NS and R operators. There are two different types of three-point amplitudes, namely $\langle \text{NS}, \text{NS}, \text{NS} \rangle$ and $\langle \text{R}, \text{R}, \text{NS} \rangle$. It is easy to show that at certain momentum values, the former vanishes while the latter does not.

In the diagonal basis, the descriptions are quite different, yet the final conclusion is rather similar. In this case, the NS and R operators can be related by a local operator $\exp(\lambda \int^z J^{\text{tot}})$ which describes the moduli of the world-sheet $U(1)$ gauge field. However, in the diagonal basis, the picture-changing operators are not invertible, and the physical operators at different pictures cannot be identified for all on-shell momenta. The consequence of this is that the three-point interactions of all the physical operators involve three different types of amplitudes. These

interactions, however, can be effectively described by the interactions of a scalar NS operator and a spinorial R operator, which is precisely the case for the off-diagonal basis.

The differences between the NS and R operators are highlighted in the real structure for spacetime that we consider in this paper. The computations for both the diagonal basis and the off-diagonal basis are equally valid if one chooses the complex structure for spacetime. In the complex structure, there is a further constraint that the momentum and its complex conjugate are not independent variables. In particular, the momentum and its complex conjugate vanish simultaneously. In this case, the three-point amplitudes $\langle \text{NS}, \text{NS}, \text{NS} \rangle$ and $\langle \text{R}, \text{R}, \text{NS} \rangle$ can be viewed as equivalent since they are proportional to each other with the proportionality never singular. Thus in view of the result we obtained in the real structure for spacetime, the identification of the NS and R operators that was discussed for the complex structure is a consistent choice, rather than a necessity imposed by the symmetry of the theory.

Finally, we comment on the possibility of spacetime supersymmetry. All the physical operators we have discussed in this paper are bosonic, and reside in the (NS-NS) and (R-R) sectors with respect to the two fermionic currents. There are also (NS-R) and (R-NS) sectors [6], in which physical operators with fermionic statistics would reside. Certain physical states in these two sectors were constructed in Ref. [5]; however, they involve twist operators in spacetime, which break the spacetime structure. This means that these states do not exist for general on-shell momenta, but only in special cases where certain components vanish. It may be of interest to investigate the resulting two-dimensional theory in more detail.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to SISSA, Trieste for hospitality during the course of this work. We thank the referee, N. Berkovits, E. Gava, R. Iengo, S.V. Ketov, O. Lechtenfeld, K. Narain and A. Schwimmer for useful discussions.

Appendix

In this appendix, we shall calculate the inverses $(\tilde{Z}^1)^{-1}$ and $(\tilde{Z}^2)^{-1}$ of the picture-changing operators for the $N = 2$ string in a generic off-diagonal basis. We shall first derive an expression for the operator $(\tilde{Z}^1)^{-1}$. In section 3, we showed that the leading term of $(\tilde{Z}^1)^{-1}$ is given by (23). By itself, this is not annihilated by the BRST operator (20). As in Ref. [5], we can remedy this by adding an infinite sequence of terms, of the form

$$B_n = c \partial^n \xi^1 \dots \partial \xi^1 \partial^{n-2} \eta_2 \dots \eta_2 e^{-(n+1)\phi_1 + (n-1)\phi_2} . \quad (48)$$

Most terms in the BRST operator (20) annihilate the B_n operators. The only terms that do not are the following

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &\equiv x_2 b \partial \eta_1 \eta_1 e^{2\phi_1} - \frac{2x_2}{1 - x_1 x_2} \gamma \eta_1 \partial \xi^2 e^{\phi_1 - \phi_2} , \\ Q_2 &\equiv (1 + x_1 x_2) \left(b \eta_1 \eta_2 e^{\phi_1 + \phi_2} + \frac{1}{1 - x_1 x_2} \gamma (\partial \phi_1 - \partial \phi_2) \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

$$Q_3 \equiv x_1 b \partial \eta_2 \eta_2 e^{2\phi_2} + \frac{2x_1}{1 - x_1 x_2} \gamma \partial \xi^1 \eta_2 e^{-\phi_1 + \phi_2} .$$

Acting with these on B_n , we find

$$Q_1 B_n = n!(n-1)! x_2 \tilde{B}_{n-2} , \quad Q_2 B_n = -n(1 + x_1 x_2) \tilde{B}_{n-1} , \quad Q_3 B_n = \frac{x_1}{n!(n-1)!} \tilde{B}_n , \quad (50)$$

where $\tilde{B}_n \equiv \partial^n \xi^1 \dots \partial \xi^1 \partial^n \eta_2 \dots \eta_2 e^{-(n+1)\phi_1 + (n+1)\phi_2} - 2\gamma B_{n+1}/(1 - x_1 x_2)$. Here B_n and $\tilde{B}_n$ are defined to be zero when n is negative. From (50), it follows that

$$b_n Q_3 B_n + b_{n+1} Q_2 B_{n+1} + b_{n+2} Q_1 B_{n+2} = 0 , \quad (51)$$

where the coefficients b_n satisfy the recursion relation

$$(n+1)!(n+2)! x_2 b_{n+2} - (n+1)(1 + x_1 x_2) b_{n+1} + \frac{x_1}{n!(n-1)!} b_n = 0 . \quad (52)$$

This recursion relation can be easily solved to give

$$b_n = \frac{1}{n} \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} (j!)^{-2} \frac{1 - (x_1 x_2)^n}{1 - x_1 x_2} b_1 . \quad (53)$$

Thus the inverse operator $(\tilde{Z}^1)^{-1}$, which takes the form

$$(\tilde{Z}^1)^{-1} = \sum_{n \geq 1} b_n B_n \quad (54)$$

with $b_1 = 1/x_2$, is annihilated by the BRST operator. Similarly, the inverse operator $(\tilde{Z}^2)^{-1}$ is given by (54), with indices 1 and 2 interchanged, and $b_1 = 1/x_1$.

References

- [1] H. Ooguri and C. Vafa, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A5** (1990) 1389; *Nucl. Phys.* **B361** (1991) 469.
- [2] D. Friedan, E. Martinec and S. Shenker, *Nucl. Phys.* **B271** (1986) 93.
- [3] H. Lü, C.N. Pope, X.J. Wang and K.W. Xu, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **11** (1994) 967.
- [4] J. Barrett, G.W. Gibbons, M.J. Perry, C.N. Pope and P. Ruback, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A10** (1994) 1457.
- [5] S.V. Ketov, O. Lechtenfeld and A.J. Parkes, *Twisting the $N = 2$ string*, Hannover and DESY preprint ITP-UH-24/93 and DESY-93-191. December 1993; J. Bischoff, S.V. Ketov and O. Lechtenfeld, *The GSO projection, BRST cohomology and picture-changing in the $N = 2$ string theory*, hep-th/9406101.
- [6] J. Gomis, *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 408.